A Fourier Split-Step Based Wide-Angle Three-Dimensional Vector Parabolic Wave Equation Algorithm Predicting the Field Strength Over Flat and Irregular Forest Environments
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Abstract — This paper provides the analysis of radio wave propagation prediction over flat and irregular forest environments. A three-dimensional vector parabolic wave equation (3DPE) method is used to calculate the field strength due to the forest on a lossy ground. Forest terrains are equivalent to a series of absorbing blocks arranged along the direction of propagation. Under the assumption of forwarding propagation, a 3DPE is derived and the Fourier split-step based PE (SSPE) method is adopted to march the potentials from one aperture plane to the next. A Tukey window function is used to attenuate the fields smoothly at the upper boundary without reflections. Finally, the simulation results are compared with the analytical methods presented in the literature. The simulation results have shown the validity of the proposed algorithm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wave propagation is an important phenomenon in many applications. The analysis of ground wave propagation depends on the characteristics of the lower layer of the atmosphere and the terrain over which the wave propagates. Electromagnetic waves (EM) are affected by the propagation environments due to change in temperature, pressure, and humidity. Non-flat terrains, buildings, trees, and mountains also disturb the waves and lead to the variation of field strength [1].

In the rural and suburban areas, the forest is an important factor affecting the radio wave propagation over a long distance. Due to the absorption and scattering properties of the trees, the attenuation and phase shift of signals mostly happen which affects the target identification and other wireless communications greatly [2]. Therefore, it has very important practical application value to study the forest effect on wave propagation. Several empirical and deterministic methods have been presented in the literature for propagation analysis [3-5]. Empirical models require less computational effort, but these models do not consider the details of the local terrain topography. On the other hand, deterministic models require a vast amount of data regarding terrain profile, more computational resources and are more accurate than the empirical models [6,7]. The approaches based on parabolic equation (PE) are another way to predict the wave behavior, it was first introduced by Leontovich and Fock in the 1940s [8]. Later, it has become a popular method in EM wave propagation modeling. The PE method gets the environmental parameters as input, it accepts different boundary conditions (BCs) and allows to use different types of antenna patterns [9, 10]. Due to these characteristics, the PE method nowadays is a preferred method for solving radio wave propagation problems [11-13]. There are many numerical methods available to solve parabolic type wave equations such as Finite Difference method, Finite Element method, and Method of Moment in the literature [14], but the SSPE method is more suitable to solve PE for long-range propagation. This method is highly efficient both in memory usage and in runtime [15-19].

In recent years, many scholars have done some research on radio wave propagation problems in forest environments using PE method [20-25]. Still, these models are 2D in nature either it is based on scalar formulations or it has been designed for a small area.

The goal of this paper is to introduce the 3DPE method in a forest environment based on SSPE method, to predict the wave propagation due to the forest over a large area. It is shown that the 3DPE model can formulate both vertical and lateral wave propagation into account. The basic formulation of the 3DPE is discussed briefly, which is followed by the explanation of the SSPE method and the corresponding numerical implementation.

This paper is organized into five sections as follows. In the next section, the basic formulation of the wide-angle 3DPE is discussed. Section 3 presents the SSPE
solution to the proposed algorithm. In Section 4, numerical implementation steps are discussed such as initial field, domain discretization, boundary conditions, etc. Section 5 presents the simulation results and comparisons with the results presented in the literature.

II. FORMULATION OF THE 3DPE

In cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\), considering the propagation in a homogeneous medium with refractive index \(n\) and supposing a time convention \(e^{-i\omega t}\), where \(\omega = 2\pi f\) is the radial frequency at the frequency \(f\). Supposing that the fields are excited by a vertically polarized \((z\)-oriented\) sources at a given range \(x_0\). The electric current density of the distributed source located at \(x = 0\) is assumed to be 

\[ J_x = I_n \delta(x) \delta(y) f^*(y, z) \]

where \(f^*(y, z)\) is the 2D Gaussian function, \(I_n\) is the current moment and \(\delta(\cdot)\) denotes the unit delta function, respectively. Expressing the fields in terms of two \(z\)-oriented potentials, it is easy to see from Maxwell’s equations that [26]:

\[ E^* = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k_0^2 \right) \psi^* = E_z, \]

\[ H^m = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + k_0 \right) \psi^m = H_z, \]

where \(\psi^*\) and \(\psi^m\) are the scalar potentials arising from the electric and magnetic currents, respectively. The superscripts “\(e\)” and “\(m\)” identify the sources (electric or magnetic) that give rise to the potentials and fields. The wavenumber and intrinsic impedance in free space are denoted by \(k_0\) and \(\eta_0\), respectively. The total fields \(E = E^e + E^m\) and \(H = H^e + H^m\) are decomposed in terms of a TE\(z\) mode and a TM\(z\) mode with constituent fields [27]:

\[ E^e = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^e}{\partial z^2}, \quad H^e = \frac{\partial \psi^e}{\partial y}, \]

\[ E^m = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^m}{\partial z^2}, \quad H^m = -\frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial x}, \]

\[ E^e = -\frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial y}, \quad H^e = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial z}, \]

\[ E^m = \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial x}, \quad H^m = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial z}. \]

Using this representation of the fields, and in a source-free region \(\psi = \psi^e + \psi^m\) and thus from the Helmholtz equation, PE can be obtained as [9, 11]:

\[ \left[ \nabla^2 + k_0^2 n^2 \right] \psi = 0, \]

where \(\nabla^2\) is the Laplacian operator and replacing \(e^{i\omega t}\), the wide-angle 3DPE can be obtained as [28, 29]:

\[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = ik_0 (n - 2) + i \sqrt{k_0^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)} \psi. \]

III. FOURIER SPLIT-STEP ALGORITHM

In numerical analysis, the Fourier split-step method is a type of pseudo-spectral method used to solve highly nonlinear time-dependent partial differential equations in engineering and physics applications [30]. We are briefly explaining this method as in [31]. Starting from (6) and introducing the two operator’s \(M\) and \(N\) as:

\[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = [M(x, y, z) + N(y, z)] \psi, \]

\[ M = ik_0 (n - 2), \quad N = i \sqrt{k_0^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)} \]

Supposing that \(\Delta x\) is the range incremental step size, then the split-step solution at \(x + \Delta x\) can be written as:

\[ \psi(x + \Delta x, y, z) = e^{iM(x, y, z) + N(y, z)} \psi(x, y, z), \]

or magnetic) that give rise to the potentials and fields. The wavenumber and intrinsic impedance in free space are denoted by \(k_0\) and \(\eta_0\), respectively. The total fields \(E = E^e + E^m\) and \(H = H^e + H^m\) are decomposed in terms of a TE\(z\) mode and a TM\(z\) mode with constituent fields [27]:

\[ E^e = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^e}{\partial z^2}, \quad H^e = \frac{\partial \psi^e}{\partial y}, \]

\[ E^m = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^m}{\partial z^2}, \quad H^m = -\frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial x}, \]

\[ E^e = -\frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial y}, \quad H^e = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial z}, \]

\[ E^m = \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial x}, \quad H^m = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial z}. \]

Using this representation of the fields, and in a source-free region \(\psi = \psi^e + \psi^m\) and thus from the Helmholtz equation, PE can be obtained as [9, 11]:

\[ \left[ \nabla^2 + k_0^2 n^2 \right] \psi = 0, \]

where \(\nabla^2\) is the Laplacian operator and replacing \(e^{i\omega t}\), the wide-angle 3DPE can be obtained as [28, 29]:

\[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = ik_0 (n - 2) + i \sqrt{k_0^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)} \psi. \]

Supposing that \(\Delta x\) is the range incremental step size, then the split-step solution at \(x + \Delta x\) can be written as:

\[ \psi(x + \Delta x, y, z) = e^{iM(x, y, z) + N(y, z)} \psi(x, y, z), \]

or magnetic) that give rise to the potentials and fields. The wavenumber and intrinsic impedance in free space are denoted by \(k_0\) and \(\eta_0\), respectively. The total fields \(E = E^e + E^m\) and \(H = H^e + H^m\) are decomposed in terms of a TE\(z\) mode and a TM\(z\) mode with constituent fields [27]:

\[ E^e = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^e}{\partial z^2}, \quad H^e = \frac{\partial \psi^e}{\partial y}, \]

\[ E^m = \frac{\eta_0 n}{n^2 k_0} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^m}{\partial z^2}, \quad H^m = -\frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial x}, \]

\[ E^e = -\frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial y}, \quad H^e = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial z}, \]

\[ E^m = \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial x}, \quad H^m = \frac{i}{\eta_0 k_0} \frac{\partial \psi^m}{\partial z}. \]

Using this representation of the fields, and in a source-free region \(\psi = \psi^e + \psi^m\) and thus from the Helmholtz equation, PE can be obtained as [9, 11]:

\[ \left[ \nabla^2 + k_0^2 n^2 \right] \psi = 0, \]

where \(\nabla^2\) is the Laplacian operator and replacing \(e^{i\omega t}\), the wide-angle 3DPE can be obtained as [28, 29]:

\[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = ik_0 (n - 2) + i \sqrt{k_0^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)} \psi. \]
IV. NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, we have discussed some important implementation steps for the numerical simulation of the proposed algorithm.

A. Boundary conditions

The PE model requires BCs to avoid the reflection at \( z = \pm z_{\text{max}} \) and \( y = \pm y_{\text{max}} \) along the \( y \) and \( z \) axes, respectively. An absorbing boundary condition is used in this paper as:

\[
W(j,k) = w(j) \cdot w(k), \quad j = 1: N_y, k = 1: N_z,
\]

where \( w \) is the Tukey window function, with \( N(N_y, N_z) \) being the Fourier transform size. Therefore, the potential at \((x, y, z)\) is finally computed as:

\[
\psi(i \Delta x, j \Delta y, k \Delta z) = \psi(i \Delta x, j \Delta y, k \Delta z) \cdot W(j, k).
\]  

Besides, the forest terrain surface is assumed to be a lossy and trees are equivalent to a series of absorbing blocks arranged along the direction of propagation.

B. Domain discretization

The domains are truncated at \( \pm z_{\text{max}} \) and \( \pm y_{\text{max}} \) (maximum distance along the \( y \)- and \( z \)-directions). The step size along \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) directions defined as \( \Delta x \), \( \Delta y \) and \( \Delta z \) respectively. Maximum altitude \( z_{\text{max}} \) is determined from the source/observation requirements to minimize the aliasing effects. Once \( z_{\text{max}} \) or \( y_{\text{max}} \) is decided then \( k_{y_{\text{max}}} \) and \( k_{z_{\text{max}}} \) are calculated from the Nyquist criterion as \( z_{\text{max}} \times k_{z_{\text{max}}} = \pi N \) and \( y_{\text{max}} \times k_{y_{\text{max}}} = \pi N \) at the same time. As \( k_{y_{\text{max}}} = k_y \sin \theta_{\text{max}} \) and similarly, \( k_{z_{\text{max}}} = k_z \sin \theta_{\text{max}} \), where \( \theta_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum allowable propagation angle [11]. As \( \Delta z = z_{\text{max}} / N \) and \( \Delta y = y_{\text{max}} / N \), and if \( \Delta t = \sqrt{\Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2} \), then we have, \( \Delta t \leq \pi / k_y \sin \theta_{\text{max}} \) to predict the maximum propagation angle. The choice of the incremental range \( \Delta x \) is provided by the user and it can be as large as \( \lambda \) since \( \psi(x, y, z) \) varies slowly along \( x \) in the \( yz \)-plane [26].

C. Initialization of the algorithm

The numerical solution of SSPE algorithm usually starts with the given initial potential. It relates to the field radiated by the transmitting antenna. The transformed potential provides the initial potential for the beginning of the algorithm. In this work, we generate it by a vertically polarized current source with a Gaussian aperture distribution whose electric current is \( I_0 \) and length \( l \), which is given as [26]:

\[
\psi^r(0^+, y, z) \approx \frac{il}{2k_0} f^r(y, z),
\]

where \( H_i \) represents the height of the transmitting antenna and \( \sigma_z \) is the source standard deviation used to set 3 dB elevation beamwidth of transmitting antenna. Because the magnetic current is assumed to be zero here, therefore the initial condition for \( \psi^m \) is simply \( \psi^m(0^+, y, z) = 0 \). The transformed potential \( \tilde{\psi}(0^+, k_x, k_z) \) can be easily determined using Fourier transform of the current source with respect to \( z \) and \( \psi \) is the 2D-Fourier transform of the aperture field [26]:

\[
\tilde{\psi}(0^+, k_x, k_z) = F[f^r(y, z)] = e^{-ik_H z} e^{-k_z \sigma_z^2 / 2}.
\]

The initial potential can be defined as a column vector with \( N_y \times N_z \) elements along the \( z \)-axis, again as \( \tilde{J} \) is independent of \( y \) one can repeat the column of \( \tilde{\psi}(0^+, 0^+, k_z) N_y \) times along the \( y \)-axis. In this way, a 2D initial potential \( \tilde{\psi}(0^+, k_x, k_z) \) can be obtained with \( N_y \times N_z \) dimension. In order to apply the impedance surface BCs on a forest terrain the image theory is applied, implying that the fields and their corresponding potentials are represented in terms of odd and even parts. This infers that the Gaussian source representation is equally split into its even and odd components, \( \tilde{\psi}_e \) and \( \tilde{\psi}_o \), respectively:

\[
\psi_e(0^+, k_x, k_z) = e^{-k_z \sigma_z^2 / 2} \cos(k_H z),
\]

\[
\psi_o(0^+, k_x, k_z) = -ie^{-k_z \sigma_z^2 / 2} \sin(k_H z).
\]

Neglecting the surface waves in the far zone, under this approximation the initial field is the 2D Fourier transform of the aperture field \( \tilde{\psi} \) that satisfies the impedance boundary over the ground as [26]:

\[
\psi(x, y, z) = \int_{y' = 0}^{y_{\text{max}}} \int_{z' = 0}^{z_{\text{max}}} \psi(x, y', z') e^{-ik_H z'} \Gamma(\vec{k}) e^{ik_{x'} z'} dy' dz',
\]

where \( \Gamma(\vec{k}) \) is the reflection coefficient of the plane waves over an impedance terrain surface with impedance \( Z \) for \( \text{TM}_z \) and \( \text{TE}_z \) modes, which is given as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\text{TM}_z}(k_z) &= \frac{k_z - Z_{0z}}{k_z + Z_{0z}} \\
\Gamma_{\text{TE}_z}(k_z) &= \frac{Z_{0z} - k_z}{Z_{0z} + k_z}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi^r(x, y, z) &= \psi^r(0^+, y, z) e^{-ik_H z} e^{ik_z \sigma_z^2 / 2}
\end{align*}
\]
the normalized complex impedance of the terrain surface
is given as
\[ Z = \int \sqrt{1 + \frac{i \sigma_f}{\omega \varepsilon_0}} \, df \]
where \( \sigma_f \) is the conductivity and \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the relative permittivity of the forest terrain, respectively [26, 34]. Actually, Eq. (19) is not a 2D Fourier transform, but a complicated double integration. While it can be evaluated easily with the help of fast Fourier transform (FFT) instead of evaluating double integrals. For example, the potentials are represented in terms of odd and even parts
\[ \psi = \frac{\psi_e + \psi_o}{2} \]
Assuming that \( A = e^{ik_x x} \) and \( B = e^{ik_y y} \) then the integral part corresponds to \( z \) in (19) can be written as:
\[ \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \left[ A + \Gamma(k_z)B \right] \psi(x, y', z') dy' dz'. \] (21)
In order to apply the FFT, changing the limit of integration from 0 to \( \infty \) to \( -\infty \) to \( \infty \) [35]. If we add \( \psi_e(x, y', z') \) and \( \psi_o(x, y', z') \) together for \( z > 0 \) and \( z < 0 \) we will get back the total field \( \psi \). In this way, we can obtain Eq. (22) by prolix deduction as given below:
\[ \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \left[ A + \Gamma(k_z)B \right] \psi(x, y', z') dy' dz', \]
\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \Gamma(k_z)}{2} A\psi_e(x, y', z') dz', \]
\[ + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \Gamma(k_z)}{2} A\psi_o(x, y', z') dz'. \] (22)
substituting (22) into (19) and thus, the initial potential \( \psi(0^+, k_x, k_z) \) can be obtained for the beginning of the algorithm as:
\[ \psi(0^+, k_x, k_z) = \frac{1 + \Gamma(k_z)}{2} \psi_e(0^+, k_x, k_z) \]
\[ + \frac{1 - \Gamma(k_z)}{2} \psi_o(0^+, k_x, k_z). \] (23)
After initializing the potentials \( \psi(0^+, y, z) \) at \( x = 0^+ \) the field values at \( x(0^+ + \Delta x) \) are computed by applying the propagator \( e^{ik_x x} \) to the Fourier transformed field \( \tilde{\psi}(0^+, k_x, k_z) \). Hence, obtaining the field values at the successive location \( x + \Delta x \) by an inverse Fourier transform as can be seen in Eq. (24) the double integration corresponds to an inverse Fourier transform where \( k_y \) and \( k_z \) are the transformation domain variables:
\[ \psi(x + \Delta x, y, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik_x x} \tilde{\psi}(x, k_y, k_z) e^{ik_x x} \, dk_y dk_z, \] (24)
and thus, it can be solved via Split-Step Fourier algorithm which consists of a succession of Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms obtained by FFT and inverse FFT, respectively. Equations (19) and (24) are used for both \( \psi^e \) and \( \psi^o \) with the appropriate value of \( \Gamma(k_z) \) chosen from (20).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, the simulation results are presented to check the validity of the proposed algorithm. In order to validate the proposed algorithm, the simulation results are compared with the results presented by Janaswamy as Fig. 11 in [26]. Related studies can also be found in [35]. Figure 1 shows the propagation factor (PF) versus the receiver height \( H_r \) when a PEC knife edge is placed over the flat terrain between the transmitter and receiver as shown in Fig. 1 inset.

![Fig. 1. Propagation factor versus vertical displacement behind a finite absorbing screen (a single knife-edge) as Fig. 11 in [26].](image)

The knife-edge’s height \( H_{KE} \) and width \( W_{KE} \) are 50 m (meters) and 49.8 m, respectively. The distance between the transmitter and receiver is 500 m, the distance between the transmitter and the PEC knife edge is \( d_1 = 125 \, m \), and the distance between the knife edge and the receiver is 375 m, respectively. The field is computed at \( x = x_{max} \). Other parameters are shown in Fig. 1 inset. Compared with the reference model, the proposed model had an excellent agreement with the results presented in [26] which shows the validity of the proposed algorithm.
All the simulations were realized using a 3.4 GHz Core i7-7600 CPU workstation, 32 GB RAM. Computational time and memory used by the proposed model at different range step sizes are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Computational time and RAM consumption (Dell 3.4 GHz Core i7-7600 CPU workstation, 32 GB RAM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Δx (m)</th>
<th>Ny = Nz</th>
<th>RAM (MB)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>626.4</td>
<td>28.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>627.1</td>
<td>14.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second test is related to the field strength prediction over the rows of trees with uniform heights and spacing arranged along the direction of propagation on flat terrain. Field strength prediction due to the forest with non-uniform heights and equal spacing arranged along the direction of propagation on flat terrain is also presented in Fig. 4, and the last example is related to the field strength prediction due to the forest placed over three triangular-shaped mountains.

The simulation results presented in Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5 are compared with the analytical method namely, 3D Ray tracing method. 3D Ray tracing method is successfully applied in propagation modeling through open and closed environments, that may serve as a reference and perform validation, verification, and calibration (VV&C) [36, 37].

Figure 2 shows the geometry of the forest terrain placed in the yz-plane along the direction of propagation between the transmitter and receiver, where $F_w$ is the forest’s width and $F_h$ is the forest’s height, respectively.

Figure 3 (top) shows the geometry of the flat-shaped forest. The rows of trees with uniform heights (16 m) and separation distance (50 m) on the simulation range of 5 kilometers (km) are considered over the flat earth. The transmitting and receiving antennas are placed at the height of 16 m with $15^\circ$ propagation angle in the paraxial direction. The operating frequency is considered at 900 MHz. Other operational parameters in all examples are assumed as $\Delta y = \Delta z = \lambda$, $N_y = N_z = 1024$, $\sigma_f = 0.1$ mS/m, and $e_{rf} = 1.1$, respectively. The effect on the field strength in the presences of rows of trees on flat terrain at $F_w = 200$ m is evaluated as shown in Fig. 3 (middle) and (bottom). We can see that in the start of simulation the field values of the 3DPE method and 3D Ray tracing method are very close to the free space value, while the field values due to the 3DPE model rapidly decrease for short distance very close to the transmitter, it is may be due to the paraxial approximation in PE, it is clearly observed in Fig. 3 (bottom).

The PE method is known to give unreliable solutions very close to the source. The region over which these errors occur is very small indeed (of the order of meters) but because the algorithm is range dependent these errors will propagate. However, preliminary experiments have indicated that the errors do not significantly affect predictions at ranges of interest [38]. The results of [39] indicate that the field degrades for a plane wave incident upon uniform height screens with equal separations as in this paper. We can see that the 3DPE results have good agreement with the 3D Ray
tracing method and the result presented in [39], but the result of the 3D Ray tracing is slightly higher, which implies it overestimates the field amplitude due to the diffracted waves.

Figure 4 (top) shows the geometry of the flat-shaped forest. The rows of trees with non-uniform heights from 30 m (maximum) to 10 m (minimum) are considered with a separation distance of 50 m on the simulation range of 5 kilometers (km). The transmitting and receiving antennas are placed at the height of 30 m and 10 m respectively, with 15° propagation angle in the paraxial direction. Up to 0.5 kilometers from the transmitter the field values behave like free space and gradually decreasing due to the creeping wave effect, after 0.5 kilometers the field value increases due to the contribution of lateral waves. We can see that the 3DPE results have good agreement with the 3D Ray tracing method, but the results of the 3DPE are slightly higher, due to finite $F_w$. Therefore, if we increase the $F_w$, then we expect to see the results of the 3DPE model to agree with 3D Ray tracing results because in 3D Ray tracing method $F_w$ is assumed infinitely long. This is equivalent to the 2DPE model which did not consider the lateral waves. The total field variation is the sum of the direct waves and reflected waves.

Figure 5 shows the geometry of the third test, it is the side view of the forest in a mountainous area with multiple rows of trees with variable heights, equal spacing and variable width distributed on three mountains surfaces. The modeling of the mountain type terrains can be found in [40].

In Fig. 5, up to 0.5 kilometers from the base station field behaves like free space, then at the first peak of mountain field behaves like a single knife-edge. The field strength minima occur at one kilometer in the 3DPE model. However, the results of 3D Ray tracing show that the ray tracing approach must be improved to handle the transition region effects and the multiple diffraction effects at very small angles.

VI. CONCLUSION

This work has demonstrated the 3DPE model that evaluates the field strength over flat and irregular forest environments. It is shown that the 3DPE model can formulate both vertically and laterally wave propagation effect in a large forest environment. Under the assumption of forwarding propagation, a wide-angle three-dimensional parabolic wave equation (3DPE) is formulated from the Helmholtz equation. The split-step parabolic equation (SSPE) method is adopted to march the potentials from one aperture plane to the next along the direction of propagation. The obtained results have a good agreement with the reference models presented in the literature. The proposed model can be generally used in both national, commercial and military applications for the analysis of radio wave propagation.
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