Low-profile Normal-Mode Helical Antenna for Use in Proximity to Metal
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Abstract—To expand the applicability of UHF tags, a low-profile, small, normal-mode helical antenna (NMHA) that can be used on metallic plates was developed. This paper clarifies the design method for antenna structures for use in proximity to metal. The design data were obtained with the electromagnetic simulator FEKO. Fundamental electrical characteristics such as the antenna input impedances, self-resonance conditions, and radiation characteristics were clarified in proximity to metal. For antenna sizes of 0.03–0.05 wavelengths, antenna gains of about –0.5 dBi were achieved. The calculated results were verified using an actual antenna (size, 0.04 wavelengths). A tap feed structure was used for impedance matching. It was shown that very precise design results could be achieved. Moreover, the developed NMHA was designed to be a tag antenna. Here, the antenna impedance was matched to the IC chip impedance. The read range of the developed tag was measured to be about 15 m.

Index Terms—Tag antenna, Normal Mode Helical Antenna, Metal Proximity Use and Read Range.

I. INTRODUCTION

Radio-frequency identification (RFID) systems have recently attracted much interest for use in efficiently tracking and identifying objects in various supply chains [1]. An RFID system basically consists of a reader, writer, and tag. For UHF tags, film-type half-wavelength (0.5λ) dipole antennas are used as antennas in many applications [2]. However, such tag antennas cannot be mounted on metallic objects or bottles full of liquids because the antenna characteristics are seriously degraded by the resulting change in antenna impedance [3]. For these applications, some designs for patch antennas [4] and slot antennas [5] were proposed. Nevertheless, although these antennas were sufficiently thin—some less than 4 mm—they were about 80 mm in size, which limited them to specialized applications. This limitation was overcome in the design of a small, normal-mode helical antenna (NMHA) that could be used on metallic plates [6]. An antenna gain of –0.4 dBi was achieved by an antenna that was 0.035λ (11 mm) in size in proximity to metal. However, the antenna thickness was as large as 9 mm. This must be reduced to increase user convenience.

In this study, projection was reduced by using a rectangular cross section for the NMHA. This rectangular NMHA was designed using the commercial electromagnetic simulator FEKO Suite 5.4 [7]. The simulation parameters were verified to ensure precise calculations, and the fundamental electrical performance characteristics such as the antenna input impedances, self-resonance condition, and radiation characteristics were calculated in proximity to metal. For antenna sizes of 0.03–0.05λ, antenna gains of about –0.5 dBi were achieved. The calculated results were confirmed using an actual antenna with the size 0.04λ. A tap feed structure was designed for impedance matching. It was shown that very precise design results could be achieved. Moreover, the developed NMHA was designed to be a tag antenna. Here, the antenna impedance was matched to the IC chip impedance. The read range of the developed tag was measured to be about 15 m.

II. FEATURES OF THE RECTANGULAR NMHA

The configuration of the rectangular NMHA is
shown in Fig. 1(a). \( L \) and \( W \) represent the antenna length and width, respectively. The number of turns in the helix is represented by \( N \). The antenna wire has the diameter \( d \). The NMHA has a rectangular cross section. The projection from the metal is expressed by \( T \). This projection was decreased sufficiently.

Electrically, the proposed NMHA is equivalent to the small dipole and loops shown in Fig. 1(b). And because the capacitance of the small dipole is cancelled out by the inductance of the loops—a condition called self-resonance—a purely resistive input impedance can be achieved. This is very important for effective radiation. When this antenna is placed near a metal plate, although the performance of the small dipole is degraded, the performance of the loop antenna is enhanced. Therefore, this antenna works well even in proximity to metal.

(a) Perspective view (b) Electrical equivalent configuration
Fig. 1. Configuration of the rectangular NMHA.

### III. SIMULATION CONDITIONS

In order to calculate precisely, the simulation parameters were studied. The commercial electromagnetic simulator FEKO was employed. A simulation example is shown in Fig. 2. The antenna was placed on a metal plate with a 1 mm spacing. The most important parameter to obtain for exact results was the segment size \((\Delta S)\) of the metal plate near the antenna, as shown by the red frame. Table 1 gives the simulation parameters. Because the antenna length was very small, such as about \( \lambda/25 \), the antenna segment size was set at \( \lambda/500 \). As for the metal plate mesh sizes, \( \lambda/20 \) was used outside the red frame. Inside the red frame, the mesh sizes were varied from \( \lambda/10 \) to \( \lambda/80 \) so as to check the convergence of the calculated results. Other calculation data for the memory size and calculation time showed that the computational load was very light.

![Fig. 2. Current distributions of NMHA structure.](image)

![Fig. 3. Convergence of the input impedance.](image)

Table 1: Simulation parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>2.8 GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>7.9 GByte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator</td>
<td>MOM of FEKO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>953 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>( \lambda/500 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal plate (near the ant.)</td>
<td>( \lambda/10 ) to ( \lambda/80 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal plate (far from the)</td>
<td>( \lambda/20 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of segments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal plate</td>
<td>5,448</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation memory size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>696 KByte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal plate</td>
<td>120 MByte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation time (seconds)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal plate</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3 shows the convergence of the input impedance calculations. This antenna was designed to satisfy the self-resonant condition. Consequently, the reactance of the input impedance should become zero. At a segment size of $\lambda/50$, the reactance approached zero. Hence, a mesh size of $\lambda/50$ was used in the red frame area. The input resistance was not affected by the segment size.

IV. CALCULATED DESIGN DATA

The simulation configuration is shown in Fig. 4. The antenna thickness is expressed by $T$. The size of the metal plate is expressed by $M$. The spacing between the antenna and the metal plate is expressed by $S$. The equivalent electric and magnetic currents are indicated by $I$ and $J$, respectively. As for the radiation components, $E_0$ and $E_\phi$ correspond to the radiation from the electric and magnetic currents, respectively.

In the antenna configuration design, the most important design subject is the self-resonance condition. In the self-resonance condition, the capacitance and inductance of the input impedance are cancelled out. The antenna length $L$ determines the input capacitance ($-X_D$) of the short dipole. The cross-sectional area of the antenna, given by the antenna width $W$ and the thickness $T$, determines the input inductance ($X_L$). The total input inductance can be found by multiplying by the number of turns $N$. So, for a given $L$, combinations of $W$, $T$, and $N$ were determined. The relations of these parameters are shown in Fig. 5. In this case, $T$ and $N$ are given as variable parameters. Other parameters, such as the wire diameter ($d$), spacing ($S$), and metal size ($M$) are shown in the figure. For smaller values of $T$, larger $W$ values were needed in order to maintain the cross-sectional area.
smaller values of $N$, larger $W$ values were needed in order to increase the individual inductances of the cross-sectional areas. It is remarkable that all of the curves were almost unaffected by a change in $L$.

An example of the input impedance at the structure indicated by the triangular mark at $T = 3$ mm is shown in Fig. 6. At 953 MHz, the input impedance became a pure resistance of 0.49 $\Omega$. Because the antenna had a very small length of $0.04\lambda$, the input resistance became very small. The radiation characteristics are shown in Fig. 7. In order to estimate the radiation level conveniently, the input impedance mismatch was ignored by utilizing a “no mismatch” calculation condition. The dominant radiation component became $E_\phi$, which corresponded to the magnetic current source. It was surprising that an antenna gain of $-0.5$ dBd was obtained, which was comparable to a 0.5$\lambda$ dipole antenna. Here, the unit dBd represents the antenna gain normalized by that of the 0.5$\lambda$ dipole antenna. The high gain is due to the adequate ohmic resistance ($R_o$) in relation to the radiation resistance ($R_r$). $R_o$ is determined by the antenna wire length and diameter ($d$). Here, because $R_r$ is 0.24 $\Omega$, $R_o$ should be smaller than $R_r$. To achieve a very small resistance, the wire diameter should be as large as possible. For $d = 0.8$ mm, $R_o = 0.25$ $\Omega$. Then, the antenna radiation efficiency is about 50%. This confirms the practicality of this small rectangular NMHA when used in proximity to metal.

The important antenna gain characteristics under the self-resonance condition are shown in Fig. 8. It is remarkable that the $E_\phi$ components were dominant. The $E_\theta$ components became less than $-20$ dBd. As for $N$ changes, no antenna gain differences were observed. For larger $T$ values, high gains were achieved. For $T = 3$ mm, antenna gains comparable to that of a 0.5$\lambda$ dipole antenna are expected. One more feature was that the antenna gains remained constant for different $L$. Hence, it is expected that excellent antenna gains are probable for very small antenna sizes such as 0.03$\lambda$.

Fig. 8. Gain for various $T$.

V. PRACTICAL ANTENNA DESIGN

The previous section showed that high antenna gains can be expected in the very small NMHA. However, because the input resistance is very small, an antenna impedance matching structure is needed for practical application. This section shows how such a matching structure can be achieved. A tap type matching structure [8] was employed, as shown in Fig. 9(a) and (b). The tap was composed of a single U shaped wire. One end of the wire was connected to the antenna conductor. A feed point was placed at the other end. Wire diameters of 0.8 mm and 0.5 mm were selected for the antenna and the tap, respectively. Fig. 9(b) shows that the tap arms were placed
between the antenna wires in order to avoid increasing the antenna thickness [9]. Because the spacing between the antenna and the metal plate was very small (1 mm), the arrangement of the tap arms was very important.

The actual parameters are shown in Fig. 9. In order to verify the electrical performance characteristics of the antenna through measurements, the antenna input impedance was matched to the coaxial cable impedance of 50 Ω. The tap structure of this case is considered to be rather simple.

The fabricated antenna and feed cable are shown in Fig. 10. The tap arms were soldered to the antenna wire. A coaxial cable was used as a feed line. A spertopf balun was attached to the coaxial cable to suppress the leak currents on the coaxial cable. Fig. 11 shows the measured and calculated antenna impedances. The measured and calculated results agreed very well with and without tap feeds. When the tap feed was employed, the antenna impedance became exactly 50 Ω. The effectiveness of the tap feed was thus verified. The bandwidth characteristics are shown in Fig. 12. A 3.5 MHz bandwidth was obtained when VSWR < 2. This bandwidth corresponded to 0.4% of the center frequency.

Fig. 10. Photograph of the fabricated antenna.

The radiation characteristics were verified through measurements. Fig. 13 shows the data for the case without the metal plate. The measured and calculated results agreed very well. Therefore, the achievement of the designed results was verified. In this case, the \( E_0 \) component corresponding to the electric current source became dominant. An antenna gain of about –2.9 dBd was achieved. By taking into account the small antenna size of 0.04 \( \lambda \), this antenna gain seems surprisingly large. However, the \( E_0 \) component, which corresponded to the magnetic current source, became small, such as –11 dBd.

Fig. 11. Input impedances with and without tap feed.

Fig. 12. The characteristics of the VSWR.

Fig. 13. Radiation patterns without metal plate.

The important radiation characteristics with a metal plate are shown in Fig. 14. In this case, the antenna was placed on the metal plate with \( S = 1 \) mm. A square metal plate with a size of 0.5 \( \lambda \) was employed. The \( E_0 \) component became dominant in the case of metal proximity. A very
high antenna gain of –0.5 dBd was achieved. The \( E_\theta \) level was increased by about 10 dB compared to the case without the metal plate. The usefulness of the NMHA in a metal proximity application was verified. At the same time, the intensity of the \( E_\phi \) component decreased to –11 dBd. This showed that the electrical current source no longer worked well in proximity to the metal.

VI. TAG ANTENNA DESIGN

In order to use the rectangular NMHA as a tag antenna, the antenna input impedance had to be matched to the IC chip impedance of \( Z_{IC} = 25 - j95 \, \Omega \). Therefore, the antenna size and tap size were modified as shown in Fig. 15. The tap length was elongated in order to produce the inductance that was needed to achieve conjugate matching to the IC chip capacitance. The spacing between the antenna and the metal plate was set to 1.5 mm. As for the metal plate, a 0.5\( \lambda \) square plate was employed.

The impedance matching process is shown in Fig. 16. It was recognized that the tap length (T3) was very important in the matching to the IC chip. Almost complete conjugate matching could be achieved at T3 = 17 mm.

---

**Fig. 14.** Radiation patterns with metal plate.

**Fig. 15.** (a) Perspective view and (b) cross-sectional view of the antenna structure matching IC chip.

**Fig. 16.** Input impedances.

**Fig. 17.** Estimated radiation patterns.
In order to estimate the antenna gain of this structure, the radiation characteristics were calculated, and the results are shown in Fig. 17. In this case, the antenna input impedance was designed to be $Z_{ANT} = 25 + j95 \ \Omega$. In order to easily calculate the radiation intensity, the input impedance mismatch was ignored by utilizing the “no mismatch” calculation condition. An antenna gain of $-0.4 \ \text{dBd}$ was obtained. Therefore, an electrical performance comparable to conventional tags can be expected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Antenna</th>
<th>Read range</th>
<th>Low profile NMHA</th>
<th>Read range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16mm 95mm</td>
<td>9m</td>
<td>15mm 15mm</td>
<td>6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15mm 15mm</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>150mm x 150mm</td>
<td>15m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 18. The RFID tag structure using the NMHA.

VII. READ RANGE

The final estimation of the electrical performance of a tag is the read range. The tag configuration of the rectangular NMHA is shown in Fig. 18. The IC chip was inserted in the tap arm. The antenna and IC chip were placed on a piece of polystyrene foam attached to the metal plate. The thickness of the foam was 1.5 mm. The size of the metal plate was $0.5\lambda$ square. The read range was measured with the setup shown in Fig. 19. A commercial reader antenna was used for transmitting and receiving. This reader antenna was connected to a reader unit and a computer. When the tag information was read, the tag number was indicated on the computer screen.

Read range measurement were conducted by changing the distance between the reader antenna and the tag. The distance where the tag number disappeared was considered the read range. These read ranges might be affected by the height pattern at the measurement site. So, in every case, the height of the tag was selected so as to obtain the highest electrical strength.

The measured read ranges of some types of tags are summarized in Table 2. In the case of conventional antennas, read ranges of 9 m are obtained. In the case of the NMHA, read ranges of 6 m and 15 m were obtained without and with metal plate proximity, respectively. The effectiveness of the developed tag was verified through this read range measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Antenna</th>
<th>Antenna alone</th>
<th>Read range</th>
<th>Antenna alone</th>
<th>Metal plate (150mm x 150mm)</th>
<th>Read range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15mm 15mm</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>150mm</td>
<td>150mm</td>
<td>15m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 19. Read range measurement.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

A low profile, small NMHA was developed.
for use on the surface of a metal plate. Important design data were obtained through simulations using the electromagnetic simulator FEKO. The following novel technical results were obtained.

(1) The structural conditions for 0.03–0.05λ antennas were obtained.
(2) The antenna gain design data for metal proximity applications were obtained. A nearly −0.5 dBi gain was shown.
(3) In order to fabricate a practical antenna, a tap type matching structure was designed to match the antenna impedance to a 50 Ω cable or IC chip impedance.
(4) The read range characteristics of the NMHA tag were obtained through measurements. A read range of about 15 m was verified.
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